arXiv:1604.06152v1 [math.PR] 21 Apr 2016

Permanental random variables, M-matrices and α-permanents

Michael B. Marcus Jay Rosen *

April 22, 2016

Abstract

We explore some properties of a recent representation of permanental vectors which expresses them as sums of independent vectors with components that are independent gamma random variables.

1 Introduction

An R^n valued α-permanental random variable $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is a random variable with Laplace transform

$$E\left(e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n s_i X_i}\right) = \frac{1}{|I + RS|^\alpha} \tag{1.1}$$

for some $n \times n$ matrix R and diagonal matrix S with entries s_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $\alpha > 0$. Permanental random variables were introduced by Vere-Jones, [4], who called them multivariate gamma distributions. (Actually he considered the moment generating function.)

In [2, Lemma 2.1] we obtain a representation for permanental random variables with the property that $A = R^{-1}$ is an M-matrix. A matrix $A = \{a_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ is said to be a nonsingular M-matrix if

(1) $a_{i,j} \leq 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

(2) A is nonsingular and $A^{-1} \geq 0$.

*Research of Jay Rosen was partially supported by grants from the National Science Foundation.

[0] Key words and phrases: Permanental random variables, M-matrices, infinitely divisible processes

[0] AMS 2010 subject classification: Primary 15B99, 60E07, 60J55; Secondary 60G17

The representation depends on the α-perminant of the off diagonal elements of A which we now define.

The α-perminant of $n \times n$ matrix M is

$$|M|_\alpha = \begin{vmatrix} M_{1,1} & \cdots & M_{1,n} \\ \cdots & & \cdots \\ M_{n,1} & \cdots & M_{n,n} \end{vmatrix}_\alpha = \sum_\pi \alpha^{c(\pi)} M_{1,\pi(1)} M_{2,\pi(1)} \cdots M_{n,\pi(n)}. \tag{1.2}$$

Here the sum runs over all permutations π on $[1, n]$ and $c(\pi)$ is the number of cycles in π.

We use boldface, such as $\mathbf{x}$, to denote vectors. Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $|\mathbf{k}| = \sum_{l=1}^n k_l$. For $1 \le m \le |\mathbf{k}|$, set $i_m = j$, where

$$\sum_{l=1}^{j-1} k_l < m \le \sum_{l=1}^{j} k_l. \tag{1.3}$$

For any $n \times n$ matrix $C = \{c_{i,j}\}_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ we define

$$C(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{bmatrix} c_{i_1,i_1} & c_{i_1,i_2} & \cdots & c_{i_1,i_{|\mathbf{k}|}} \\ c_{i_2,i_1} & c_{i_2,i_2} & \cdots & c_{i_2,i_{|\mathbf{k}|}} \\ \cdots & & \cdots & \\ c_{i_{|\mathbf{k}|},i_1} & c_{i_{|\mathbf{k}|},i_2} & \cdots & c_{i_{|\mathbf{k}|},i_{|\mathbf{k}|}} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1.4}$$

and $C(\mathbf{0}) = 1$. For example, if $\mathbf{k} = (0, 2, 3)$ then $|\mathbf{k}| = 5$ and $i_1 = i_2 = 2$ and $i_3 = i_4 = i_5 = 3$,

$$C(0, 2, 3) = \begin{bmatrix} c_{2,2} & c_{2,2} & c_{2,3} & c_{2,3} & c_{2,3} \\ c_{2,2} & c_{2,2} & c_{2,3} & c_{2,3} & c_{2,3} \\ c_{3,2} & c_{3,2} & c_{3,3} & c_{3,3} & c_{3,3} \\ c_{3,2} & c_{3,2} & c_{3,3} & c_{3,3} & c_{3,3} \\ c_{3,2} & c_{3,2} & c_{3,3} & c_{3,3} & c_{3,3} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1.5}$$

Here is an alternate description of $C(\mathbf{k})$. For any $n \times n$ matrix $C = \{c_{i,j}\}_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ the matrix $C(\mathbf{k})$ is an $|\mathbf{k}| \times |\mathbf{k}|$ matrix with its first k_1 diagonal elements equal to $c_{1,1}$, its next k_2 diagonal elements equal to $c_{2,2}$, and so on. The general element $C(\mathbf{k})_{p,q} = c_{\bar{p},\bar{q}}$, where $\bar{p}$ is equal to either index of diagonal element in row p, (the diagonal element has two indices but they are the same), and $\bar{q}$ equal to either index of the diagonal element in column q. Thus in the above example we see that $C(0, 2, 3)_{4,1} = c_{3,2}$.

Suppose that A is an $n \times n$ M-matrix. Set $a_i = a_{i,i}$ and write

$$A = D_A - B, \tag{1.6}$$

where D is a diagonal matrix with entries $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ and all the elements of B are non-negative. (Note that all the diagonal elements of B are equal to zero). In addition set

$$\overline{A} = D_A^{-1} A = I - D_A^{-1} B := I - \overline{B}. \tag{1.7}$$

The next lemma is [2, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 1.1 *Let $A = R^{-1}$ be an $n \times n$ nonsingular M-matrix with diagonal entries $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ and S be an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with entries $(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$. Then (1.1) is equal to*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{|A|^\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^\alpha} \sum_{\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)} \frac{|B(\mathbf{k})|_\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{k_i} k_i!} \frac{1}{(1+(s_1/a_1))^{\alpha+k_1} \cdots (1+(s_n/a_n))^{\alpha+k_n}} \\ &\quad = |\overline{A}|^\alpha \sum_{\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)} \frac{|\overline{B}(\mathbf{k})|_\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i!} \frac{1}{(1+(s_1/a_1))^{\alpha+k_1} \cdots (1+(s_n/a_n))^{\alpha+k_n}} \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

where the sum is over all $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. (The series converges for all $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in R_+^n$ for all $\alpha > 0$.)

Setting $S = 0$ we see that

$$\frac{|A|^\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^\alpha} \sum_{\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)} \frac{|B(\mathbf{k})|_\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^\alpha k_i!} = |\overline{A}|^\alpha \sum_{\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)} \frac{|\overline{B}(\mathbf{k})|_\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i!} = 1. \tag{1.9}$$

Let $Z_{\alpha,\overline{B}}$ be an n-dimensional integer valued random variable with

$$P\left(Z_{\alpha,\overline{B}} = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)\right) = |\overline{A}|^\alpha \frac{|\overline{B}(\mathbf{k})|_\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i!}. \tag{1.10}$$

(We omit writing the subscript $\alpha, \overline{B}$ when they are fixed from term to term.)

The sum in (1.8) is the Laplace transform of the the α-permanental random variable X. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} X &\overset{law}{=} \sum_{\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)} I_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}(Z) \left(\xi_{\alpha+k_1,a_1}, \ldots, \xi_{\alpha+k_n,a_n}\right) \\ &\overset{law}{=} \left(\xi_{\alpha+Z_1,a_1}, \ldots, \xi_{\alpha+Z_n,a_n}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

where Z and all the gamma distributed random variables, $\xi_{u,v}$ are independent. Recall that the probability density function of $\xi_{u,v}$ is

$$f(u,v;x) = \frac{v^u x^{u-1} e^{-vx}}{\Gamma(u)} \quad \text{for } x > 0 \text{ and } u, v > 0, \tag{1.12}$$

and equal to 0 for $x \leq 0$. We see that when X has probability density $\xi_{u,v}$, vX has probability density $\xi_{u,1}$. It is easy to see that

$$E(\xi_{u,1}^p) = \frac{\Gamma(p+u)}{\Gamma(u)}. \tag{1.13}$$

It follows from (1.11) that for measurable functions f on R_+^n,

$$\begin{aligned} E(f(X)) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)} P\left(Z=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\right) E\left(f\left(\xi_{\alpha+k_1,a_1},\ldots,\xi_{\alpha+k_n,a_n}\right)\right) \\ &= E\left(f\left(\xi_{\alpha+Z_1,a_1},\ldots,\xi_{\alpha+Z_n,a_n}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

Since

$$\xi_{\alpha+\beta,a} \stackrel{law}{=} \xi_{\alpha,a} + \xi_{\beta,a}, \tag{1.15}$$

it follows from (1.14) that for all increasing functions f

$$E(f(X)) \geq E\left(f\left(\xi_{\alpha,a_1},\ldots,\xi_{\alpha,a_n}\right)\right). \tag{1.16}$$

We explain in [2] that in some respects (1.16) is a generalization of the Sudakov Inequality for Gaussian processes and use it to obtain sufficient conditions for permanental processes to be unbounded.

A permanental process is a process with finite joint distributions that are permanental random variables. For example, let $G = \{G(t), t \in R\}$ be a Gaussian process with covariance $\widetilde{R}(s,t)$. Then for all n and all $t_1,\ldots,t_n$ in R^n, $(G^2(t_1)/2,\ldots,G^2(t_n)/2)$ is an n-dimensional 1/2-permanental random variable, with R in (1.1) equal to the kernel $\{\widetilde{R}(t_i,t_j)\}_{i,j=1}^n$. The stochastic process $G^2/2 = \{G^2(t)/2, t \in R\}$ is a 1/2-permanental process. In [2] we consider permanental processes defined for all $\alpha > 0$ and for kernels $R(s,t)$ that need not be symmetric.

In the first part of this paper we give some properties of the random variable Z. It turns out that it is easy to obtain the Laplace transform of Z.

Lemma 1.2

$$E\left(e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n s_i Z_i}\right) = \frac{|\overline{A}|^\alpha}{|I - (\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^\alpha} \tag{1.17}$$

where $E(\mathbf{s})$ is an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with entries e^{-s_i}, $i = 1,\ldots,n$.

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n s_i Z_i}\right) &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n s_i k_i} P\left(Z=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\right) \\ &= |\overline{A}|^\alpha \sum_{\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)} \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-s_i k_i} \frac{|\overline{B}(\mathbf{k})|_\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i!}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.18}$$

Note that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{-s_i k_i} \frac{|\overline{B}(\mathbf{k})|_\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i!} = \frac{|(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))(\mathbf{k})|_\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i!}. \tag{1.19}$$

By (1.9) with $\overline{B}(0)$ replaced by $\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s})$ for each fixed $\mathbf{s}$

$$|I - \overline{B}E(\mathbf{s})|^\alpha \sum_{\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)} \frac{|(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))(\mathbf{k})|_\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i!} = 1. \tag{1.20}$$

We get (1.17) from this and (1.18). □

A significant property of permanental random variables that are determined by kernels that are inverse M-matrices is that they are infinitely divisible. Similarly it follows from (1.17) that for all $\alpha, \beta > 0$

$$Z_{\alpha+\beta,\overline{B}} \stackrel{law}{=} Z_{\alpha,\overline{B}} + Z_{\beta,\overline{B}}. \tag{1.21}$$

We can differentiate (1.17) to give a simple formula for the moments of the components of $Z_{\alpha,\overline{B}}$, which we simply denote by Z in the following lemma.

Lemma 1.3 *For any integer $m \geq 1$ and $1 \leq p \leq n$,*

$$E(Z_p^m) = \sum_{\substack{j_0+\cdots j_l=m,\, j_i\geq 1 \\ l=0,1,\ldots,m-1}} (-1)^{l+m+1} \alpha^{j_0}(\alpha+1)^{j_1}\cdots(\alpha+l)^{j_l}(R_{p,p}A_{p,p})^l(R_{p,p}A_{p,p}-1). \tag{1.22}$$

($A_{p,p}$ is also referred to as a_p elsewhere in this paper.)

Proof To simplify the notation we take $p = 1$. Note that by (1.17) and the fact that $\overline{A} = I - (\overline{B}E(\mathbf{0}))$

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z_1^m) &= (-1)^m \frac{\partial^m}{\partial s_1^m} \left(\frac{|\overline{A}|^\alpha}{|I - (\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^\alpha} \right) \Bigg|_{\mathbf{s}=0} \\ &= (-1)^m |I - (\overline{B}E(\mathbf{0}))|^\alpha \frac{\partial^m}{\partial s_1^m} \left(\frac{1}{|I - (\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^\alpha} \right) \Bigg|_{\mathbf{s}=0}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.23}$$

Hence to prove (1.22) it suffices to show that for any m

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial s_1^m} \left(\frac{1}{|I - (\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^\alpha} \right) \Bigg|_{\mathbf{s}=0} = \sum_{\substack{j_0+\cdots j_l=m,\, j_i\geq 1 \\ l=0,1,\ldots,m-1}} (-1)^{l+1} \\ \alpha^{j_0}(\alpha+1)^{j_1}\cdots(\alpha+l)^{j_l} \frac{(R_{1,1}A_{1,1})^l}{|I - (\overline{B}E(\mathbf{0}))|^\alpha}(R_{1,1}A_{1,1}-1). \end{aligned} \tag{1.24}$$

Note that for any $\gamma > 0$ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s_1}\left(\frac{1}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^\gamma}\right) = -\frac{\gamma}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^{\gamma+1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial s_1}|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|. \tag{1.25}$$

We expand the determinant by the first column. Since $\overline{b}_{1,1} = 0$ we have

$$|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))| = M_{1,1} - \overline{b}_{2,1}e^{-s_1}M_{2,1} + \overline{b}_{3,1}e^{-s_1}M_{3,1}\cdots \pm \overline{b}_{n,1}e^{-s_1}M_{n,1} \tag{1.26}$$

where $M_{i,1}$ are minors of $I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))$ and the last sign is plus or minus according to whether n is odd or even. Note that the terms $M_{i,1}$ are not functions of s_1. Using (1.26) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial s_1}|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))| &= \overline{b}_{2,1}e^{-s_1}M_{2,1} - \overline{b}_{3,1}e^{-s_1}M_{3,1}\cdots \mp \overline{b}_{n,1}e^{-s_1}M_{n,1} \\ &= -|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))| + M_{1,1}. \end{aligned}\tag{1.27}$$

Using this we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial s_1}\left(\frac{1}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^\gamma}\right) &= \frac{\gamma}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^\gamma} - \frac{\gamma M_{1,1}}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^{\gamma+1}} \\ &= -\frac{\gamma}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^\gamma}\left(\frac{M_{1,1}}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|}-1\right). \end{aligned}\tag{1.28}$$

We now show by induction on m that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial s_1^m}\left(\frac{1}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^\alpha}\right) = \sum_{\substack{j_0+\cdots j_l=m,\, j_i\geq 1 \\ l=0,1,\ldots,m-1}} (-1)^{l+1} \\ \alpha^{j_0}(\alpha+1)^{j_1}\cdots(\alpha+l)^{j_l}\frac{M_{1,1}^l}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^{\alpha+l}}\left(\frac{M_{1,1}}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|}-1\right). \end{aligned}\tag{1.29}$$

It is easy to see that for $m = 1$ this agrees with (1.28) for $\gamma = \alpha$. Assume that (1.29) holds for m. We show it holds for $m+1$. We take another derivative with respect to s_1. It follows from (1.28) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial}{\partial s_1}\left(\frac{M_{1,1}^l}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^{\alpha+l}}\left(\frac{M_{1,1}}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|}-1\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s_1}\left(\frac{M_{1,1}^{l+1}}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^{\alpha+l+1}} - \frac{M_{1,1}^l}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^{\alpha+l}}\right) \\ &= -\frac{(\alpha+l+1)M_{1,1}^{l+1}}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^{\alpha+l+1}}\left(\frac{M_{1,1}}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|}-1\right) \\ &\qquad + \frac{(\alpha+l)M_{1,1}^l}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^{\alpha+l}}\left(\frac{M_{1,1}}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|}-1\right). \end{aligned}\tag{1.30}$$

Let us consider the term corresponding $l = k$ when we take another derivative with respect to s_1. Two sets of terms in (1.29) contribute to this. One set are the terms in which $j_0 + \cdots + j_k = m - 1$, $j_k \geq 1$ which become terms in which $j_0 + \cdots + (j_k + 1) = m$, $j_k \geq 1$, when $\frac{M_{1,1}^l}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|^{\alpha+l}} \left(\frac{M_{1,1}}{|I-(\overline{B}E(\mathbf{s}))|} - 1 \right)$ is replaced by the last line of (1.30). This almost gives us all we need. We are only lacking $j_0 + \cdots + j_k = m$, $j_k = 1$. This comes from the next to last line of (1.30) multiplying the terms in (1.29) in which $l = k - 1$. One can check that the sign of the terms for $l = k$ for $m + 1$ is different from the sign of the terms for $l = k$ for m which is what we need. This completes the proof by induction.

Recall that $\overline{A} = I - (\overline{B}E(\mathbf{0}))$ and that $M_{1,1}$ is actually a function of $\mathbf{s}$. Therefore,

$$\frac{g(\mathbf{0})}{|I - (\overline{B}E(\mathbf{0}))|} = \frac{M_{1,1}(\mathbf{0})}{|\overline{A}|} = ((\overline{A})^{-1})_{1,1} = R_{1,1}A_{1,1}, \tag{1.31}$$

by (1.7). Combining this with (1.29) we get (1.24). □

Recall that

$$\overline{R}_{p,p} = R_{p,p}A_{p,p}. \tag{1.32}$$

The next lemma gives relationship between the moments of the components of a permanental random variables X and the components of the corresponding random variables Z.

Lemma 1.4 *For $m_j \geq 1$, We have*

$$E\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n}(a_jX_j)^{m_j}\right) = E\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n}\prod_{l=0}^{m_j-1}(\alpha + Z_j + l)\right). \tag{1.33}$$

or, equivalently

$$|\overline{R}(\mathbf{m})|_\alpha = E\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n}\prod_{l=0}^{m_j-1}(\alpha + Z_j + l)\right). \tag{1.34}$$

Proof Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ denote the diagonal elements of A and set $Y = (a_1X_1, \ldots, a_nX_n)$. Then

$$Y \stackrel{law}{=} (\xi_{\alpha+Z_1,1}, \ldots, \xi_{\alpha+Z_n,1}) \tag{1.35}$$

The left-hand side of (1.33) is $E\left(\prod_{j=1}^n (Y_j)^{m_j}\right)$. Therefore, by (1.14) it is equal to

$$E\left(\prod_{j=1}^n \xi_{\alpha+Z_j,1}^{m_j}\right) = E\left(\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+Z_j+m_j)}{\Gamma(\alpha+Z_j)}\right), \tag{1.36}$$

from which we get (1.33).

It follows from [4, Prop. 4.2] that for any $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)$

$$E\left(\prod_{j=1}^n X_j^{m_j}\right) = |R(\mathbf{m})|_\alpha. \tag{1.37}$$

Since $|R(\mathbf{m})|_\alpha \prod_{j=1}^n a_j^{m_j} = |\overline{R}(\mathbf{m})|_\alpha$ we get (1.34). □

One can use the approach of Lemma 1.3 or try to invert (1.33) to find mixed moments of Z_i. Either approach seems difficult. However, it is easy to make a little progress in this direction.

Lemma 1.5 *For all i and j, including $i = j$,*

$$Cov\ Z_iZ_j = Cov\ a_iX_ia_jX_j = \alpha\, a_1a_2R_{i,j}R_{j,i}. \tag{1.38}$$

Proof By Lemma 1.4

$$E(Z_i) + \alpha = \alpha a_i R_{i,i} \tag{1.39}$$

and

$$E(a_ia_jX_iX_j) = E((\alpha+Z_i)(\alpha+Z_j)). \tag{1.40}$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} E((\alpha+Z_i)(\alpha+Z_j)) &= \alpha^2 + \alpha E(Z_i) + \alpha E(Z_j) + E(Z_i)E(Z_j) \qquad (1.41)\\ &= \alpha^2 + \alpha E(Z_i) + \alpha E(Z_j) + Cov(Z_iZ_j) + E(Z_i)E(Z_j)\\ &= (E(Z_i)+\alpha)(E(Z_j)+\alpha) + Cov(Z_iZ_j)\\ &= (\alpha a_iR_{i,i})(\alpha a_jR_{j,j}) + Cov(Z_iZ_j), \end{aligned}$$

where we use (1.39) for the last line. Using (1.41) and calculating the left-hand side of (1.40) we get the equality of the first and third terms in (1.38). To find the equality of the second and third terms in (1.38) we differentiate the Laplace transform of (X_1, X_2) in (1.1). □

If $\mathbf{m} = (0, \dots, m_j, 0, \dots 0) := \widetilde{\mathbf{m}}$ it follows from (1.34) that

$$|\overline{R}(\widetilde{\mathbf{m}})|_\alpha = E\left(\prod_{l=0}^{m_j-1}(\alpha + Z_j + l)\right). \tag{1.42}$$

Note that

$$|\overline{R}(\widetilde{\mathbf{m}})|_\alpha = \overline{R}_{j,j}^{m_j}|E_{m_j}|_\alpha \tag{1.43}$$

where E_{m_j} is an $m_j \times m_j$ matrix with all entries equal to 1. Therefore, by [4, Proposition 3.6]

$$|\overline{R}(\widetilde{\mathbf{m}})|_\alpha = \overline{R}_{j,j}^{m_j}\prod_{l=0}^{m_j-1}(\alpha + l). \tag{1.44}$$

Combining (1.42) and (1.44) we get the following inversion of (1.22):

Lemma 1.6

$$\overline{R}_{j,j}^{m_j} = E\left(\prod_{l=0}^{m_j-1}\frac{\alpha + Z_j + l}{\alpha + l}\right). \tag{1.45}$$

As a simple example of (1.45) or (1.33) we have

$$\alpha\overline{R}_{i,i} = \alpha + E\left(Z_i\right). \tag{1.46}$$

Adding this up for $i = 1, \dots, n$ we get

$$E\left(\|Z\|_{\ell^1}\right) = \alpha\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\overline{R}_{i,i} - n\right). \tag{1.47}$$

In the next section we give some formulas relating the ℓ^1 norms of permanental random variables to the ℓ^1 norms of the corresponding random variables Z.

We give an alternate form of Lemma 1.3 in which the proof uses Lemma 1.6.

Lemma 1.7 *For any m and $1 \le p \le n$,*

$$E(Z_p^m) = \sum_{l=0}^{m}\sum_{(j_0,j_1,\dots,j_l)\in J_m(l)}(-1)^{l+m}\alpha^{j_0}(\alpha+1)^{j_1}\cdots(\alpha+l)^{j_l}\left(R_{p,p}A_{p,p}\right)^l \tag{1.48}$$

where

$$J_m(l) = \{(j_0, j_1, \dots, j_l)\,|\,j_0 + \cdots j_l = m;\, j_i \ge 1, i = 0, \dots, l-1; j_l \ge 0\}. \tag{1.49}$$

Proof To simplify the notation we take $p = 1$. It follows from (1.45) that for each m,

$$E\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1}(\alpha + i + Z_1)\right) = (R_{1,1}A_{1,1})^m \prod_{i=0}^{m-1}(\alpha + i)\,. \tag{1.50}$$

When $m = 1$ this gives

$$E(Z_1) = \alpha R_{1,1}A_{1,1} - \alpha, \tag{1.51}$$

which proves (1.48) when $m = 1$.

Expanding the left hand side of (1.50) gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m}\sum_{\substack{U\subseteq[0,m-1]\\|U|=m-k}}\prod_{i\in U}(\alpha + i)\,E\left(Z_1^k\right) = (R_{1,1}A_{1,1})^m \prod_{i=0}^{m-1}(\alpha + i)\,. \tag{1.52}$$

We prove (1.48) inductively. We have just seen that when $m = 1$ (1.52) holds when $E(Z_1)$ takes the value given in (1.48). Therefore, if we show that (1.52) holds when $m = 2$ and $E(Z_1)$ and $E(Z_1^2)$ take the value given in (1.48), it follows that (1.48) gives the correct value of $E(Z_1^2)$ when $m = 2$. We now assume that we have shown this up to $m - 1$ and write out the left-hand side of (1.52), replacing each $E(Z_1^k)$, $k = 1, \ldots, m$ by the right-hand side of (1.48). Doing this we obtain terms, depending on k and U, which, up to their sign, are of the form

$$I(j_1, \ldots, j_{m-1}; l) = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1}(\alpha + i)^{j_i}\,(R_{1,1}A_{1,1})^{\,l}, \tag{1.53}$$

where $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} j_i = m$; $0 \le l \le m$; $j_i \ge 1, i = 0, \ldots, l-1$; $j_l \ge 0$ and $0 \le j_i \le 1, i = l+1, \ldots, m-1$.

The terms in (1.53) may come from the term $\prod_{i\in U}(\alpha + i)$ in (1.52) or they may come from the expression for $E\left(Z_1^k\right)$ in (1.48). Suppose that for some $i = 0, \ldots, l-1$ we have $j_i > 1$ and $i \in U$ and $k = \bar{k} \ge l$. Consider what this term is in (1.53). Note that we obtain the same term with a change of sign when U is replaced by $U - \{i\}$ and $k = \bar{k} + 1$. The same observation holds in reverse. Furthermore, both these arguments also apply when $j_l > 0$.

Because of all this cancelation, when we add up all the terms which, up to their sign, are of the form (1.53), and take their signs into consideration we

only get non-zero contributions when all $j_i = 1, i = 0, \ldots, l-1$ and $j_l = 0$. That is, we only get non-zero contributions when

$$\sum_{i=0}^{l} j_i = l \tag{1.54}$$

But recall that we have $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} j_i = m$ in (1.53) so for this to hold we must have $\sum_{i=l+1}^{m-1} j_i = m - l$ with $0 \le j_i \le 1, i = l+1, \ldots, m-1$. This is not possible because there are only $m - l - 1$ terms in this sum. Therefore we must have $l = m$ in (1.54), which can also be written as $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} j_i = m$ because $j_l = j_m = 0$. This shows that summing all the terms on the left-hand side of (1.52) gives the right hand side of (1.52). This completes the induction step and establishes (1.48). □

Example 1.1 It is interesting to have some examples. We have already pointed out that for any $1 \le p \le n$

$$E(Z_p) = \alpha(A_{p,p}R_{p,p} - 1). \tag{1.55}$$

Using (1.48) we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z_p^4) = {} & \big[\alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)\big](A_{p,p}R_{p,p})^4 \\ & -\big[\alpha^2(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) + \alpha(\alpha+1)^2(\alpha+2) \\ & \quad +\alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)^2 + \alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)\big](A_{p,p}R_{p,p})^3 \\ & +\big[\alpha^2(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) + \alpha(\alpha+1)^2(\alpha+2) + \alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)^2 \\ & \quad +\alpha^3(\alpha+1) + \alpha^2(\alpha+1)^2 + \alpha(\alpha+1)^3\big](A_{p,p}R_{p,p})^2 \\ & -\big[\alpha^3(\alpha+1) + \alpha^2(\alpha+1)^2 + \alpha(\alpha+1)^3 + \alpha^4\big](A_{p,p}R_{p,p}) + \alpha^4. \end{aligned} \tag{1.56}$$

Using (1.22) we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z_p^4) = {} & \big[\alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)(\alpha+3)\big](A_{p,p}R_{p,p})^3(A_{p,p}R_{p,p} - 1) \\ & -\big[\alpha^2(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) + \alpha(\alpha+1)^2(\alpha+2) \\ & \quad +\alpha(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)^2](A_{p,p}R_{p,p})^2(A_{p,p}R_{p,p} - 1) \\ & +\big[\alpha^3(\alpha+1) + \alpha^2(\alpha+1)^2 + \alpha(\alpha+1)^3\big](A_{p,p}R_{p,p})(A_{p,p}R_{p,p} - 1) \\ & -\alpha^4(A_{p,p}R_{p,p} - 1). \end{aligned} \tag{1.57}$$

2 Some formulas for the ℓ^1 norm of permanental random variables

We can use (1.14) and properties of independent gamma random variables to obtain some interesting formulas for functions of permanental random variables. Taking advantage of the infinitely divisibility of the components of Y, defined in (1.35), we see that

$$\|Y\|_{\ell^1} \stackrel{law}{=} \xi_{\alpha n+\|Z\|_{\ell^1},1}. \tag{2.1}$$

Note that

$$P(\|Z\|_{\ell^1}=j)=\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\\ |\mathbf{k}|=j}} P\left(Z=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)\right), \tag{2.2}$$

where $|\mathbf{k}|=\sum_{i=1}^n k_i$. The following lemma is an immediate consequence of (2.1):

Lemma 2.1 *Let Φ be a positive real valued function. Then*

$$E(\Phi(\|Y\|_{\ell^1}))=E(\Phi(\xi_{n\alpha+\|Z\|_{\ell^1},1})). \tag{2.3}$$

Example 2.1 It follows from (2.3) and (1.13) that for any $p>0$,

$$E(\|Y\|_1^p)=E\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\|Z\|_{\ell^1}+n\alpha+p\right)}{\Gamma\left(\|Z\|_{\ell^1}+n\alpha\right)}\right). \tag{2.4}$$

Clearly, $E(\|Y\|_{\ell^1})=\sum_{i=1}^n a_i E(X_i)$ and $E(X_i)=\alpha R_{i,i}$. Therefore, (1.47) follows from (2.4) with $p=1$.

Using these results we get a formula for the expectation of the ℓ^2 norm of certain n-dimensional Gaussian random variables.

Corollary 2.1 *Let $\eta=(\eta_1,\ldots,\eta_n)$ be a mean zero Gaussian random variable with covariance matrix R. Assume that $A=R^{-1}$ exists and is an M-matrix. Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ denote the diagonal elements of A. Set $\mathbf{a^{1/2}}\eta=(a_1^{1/2}\,\eta_1,\ldots,a_n^{1/2}\,\eta_n)$. Then*

$$\left\|\frac{\mathbf{a^{1/2}}\eta}{\sqrt{2}}\right\|_{\ell^2}^2 \stackrel{law}{=} \xi_{n/2+\|Z\|_{\ell^1},1}\,. \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$E\left(\left\|\frac{\mathbf{a^{1/2}}\eta}{\sqrt{2}}\right\|_2\right)=E\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\|Z\|_{\ell^1}+(n+1)/2\right)}{\Gamma\left(\|Z\|_{\ell^1}+n/2\right)}\right). \tag{2.6}$$

Proof The statement in (2.5) is simply (2.1) with $Y = (a_1^{1/2}\,\eta_1, \ldots, a_n^{1/2}\,\eta_n)$ and $\alpha = 1/2$. The statement in (2.6) is simply (2.4) with $p = 1/2$. □

3 Symmetrizing M-matrices

It follows from [1, p. 135, G_{20}; see also p. 150, E_{11}] that a symmetric M-matrix is positive definite. Therefore when the M-matrix A is symmetric and $\alpha = 1/2$, (1.1), with $R = A^{-1}$, is the Laplace transform of a vector with components that are the squares of the components of a Gaussian vector. For this reason we think of symmetric M-matrices as being special. Therefore, given an M-matrix, we ask ourselves how does the permanental vector it defines compare with the permanental vector defined by a symmetrized version of the M-matrix.

When the M-matrix is symmetric and $\alpha = 1/2$, (1.1), with $R = M^{-1}$, is the Laplace transform of a vector with components that are the squares of the components of a Gaussian vector. For this reason we think of symmetric M-matrices as being special. Therefore, given an M-matrix, we ask ourselves how does the permanental vector it defines compare with the permanental vector defined by a symmetrized version of the M-matrix.

For a positive $n \times n$ matrix C with entries $\{c_{i,j}\}$ we define $S(C)$ to be the $n \times n$ matrix with entries $\{(c_{i,j}c_{j,i})^{1/2}\}$. When A is an $n \times n$ non-singular M-matrix of the form $A = D - B$, as in (1.6), we set $A_{sym} = D - S(B)$. We consider the relationship of permamental vectors determined by A and A_{sym}, i.e. by $R = A^{-1}$ and $R_{sym} := A_{sym}^{-1}$ as in (1.1). In Remark 3.2 we explain how this can be used in the study of sample path properties of permanental processes.

Lemma 3.1 *Let A be a non-singular M-matrix, with diagonal elements $\{a_i\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then*

$$|\overline{A}| \leq 1. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof This follows from (1.9) since $B(\mathbf{0}) = 1$. □

The series expansion in (1.8) gives the following relationships between two non-singular M-matrices A and A' subject to certain regularity conditions.

Lemma 3.2 *Let A and A' be $n \times n$ non singular M-matrices and define $\overline{A}$ and $\overline{A}'$ as in (1.7). Assume that $\overline{B}' \geq \overline{B}$. Then*

$$\overline{A}' \leq \overline{A} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad (\overline{A})^{-1} \leq (\overline{A}')^{-1}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof The first inequality in (3.2) follows immediately from (1.7).

To obtain the second statement in (3.2) we write $A = D_A(I - D_A^{-1}B)$, so that by [1, Chapter 7, Theorem 5.2]

$$A^{-1}D_A = (I - \overline{B})^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(\overline{B})^j \quad \text{and} \quad A'^{-1}D_A = (I - \overline{B}')^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(\overline{B}')^j \tag{3.3}$$

both converge. Therefore $A^{-1}D_A \leq A'^{-1}D_{A'}$ which is the same as the second inequality in (3.2). □

Lemma 3.3 *When A is an $n \times n$ non-singular M-matrix, A_{sym}, and $\overline{A}_{sym}$ are $n \times n$ non-singular M-matrices and*

$$|A_{sym}| \geq |A| \quad \textit{and} \quad |\overline{A}_{sym}| \geq |\overline{A}|. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof We prove this for A and A_{sym}. Given this it is obvious that the lemma also holds for $\overline{A}$ and $\overline{A}_{sym}$.

It follows from [1, p. 136, H_{25}] that we can find a positive diagonal matrix $E = \text{diag}\,(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ such that

$$EAE^{-1} + E^{-1}A^tE \tag{3.5}$$

is strictly positive definite. We use this to show that A_{sym} is strictly positive definite.

We write $A = D - B$ as in (1.6). For any $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(A_{sym})_{i,j}x_ix_j &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}a_ix_i^2 - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(b_{i,j}b_{j,i})^{1/2}x_ix_j \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n}a_ix_i^2 - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(b_{i,j}b_{j,i})^{1/2}|x_i|\ |x_j| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}a_ix_i^2 - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(e_ib_{i,j}e_j^{-1}e_jb_{j,i}e_i^{-1})^{1/2}|x_i|\ |x_j|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where, the first equality uses the facts that $B \geq 0$ and has $b_{i,i} = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Using the inequality between the geometric mean and arithmetic mean of

numbers we see that the last line of (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(e_i b_{i,j} e_j^{-1} + e_j b_{j,i} e_i^{-1})|x_i|\ |x_j| \qquad (3.7)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}(e_i b_{i,j} e_j^{-1} + e_i^{-1} b_{i,j}^t e_j)|x_i|\ |x_j| \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}((EAE^{-1})_{i,j} + (E^{-1}A^tE)_{i,j})|x_i|\ |x_j| > 0, \end{aligned}$$

by (3.5). Therefore A_{sym} is strictly positive definite and by definition, A_{sym} has non-positive off diagonal elements. Since the eigenvalues of A_{sym} are real and strictly positive we see by [1, p. 135, G_{20}] that A_{sym} is a non-singular M-matrix.

To get (3.4) we note that by (1.9)

$$|A|^\alpha \sum_{\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)} \frac{|B(\mathbf{k})|_\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^k k_i!} = |A_{sym}|^\alpha \sum_{\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)} \frac{|S(B)(\mathbf{k})|_\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^k k_i!}. \qquad (3.8)$$

Using (3.9) in the next lemma, we get (3.4). □

Lemma 3.4 *Let C be a positive $n \times n$ matrix. Then*

$$|S(C)|_\alpha \leq |C|_\alpha \quad \textit{and} \quad |S(C)(\mathbf{k})|_\alpha \leq |C(\mathbf{k})|_\alpha. \qquad (3.9)$$

Proof Consider two terms on the right-hand side of (1.2) for $|C|_\alpha$,

$$\alpha^{c(\pi)} c_{1,\pi(1)} c_{2,\pi(2)} \cdots c_{n,\pi(n)} \qquad (3.10)$$

and

$$\alpha^{c(\pi^{-1})} c_{1,\pi^{-1}(1)} c_{2,\pi^{-1}(2)} \cdots c_{n,\pi^{-1}(n)} = \alpha^{c(\pi)} c_{\pi(1),1} c_{\pi(2),2} \cdots c_{\pi(n),n} \qquad (3.11)$$

The sum of these terms is

$$\alpha^{c(\pi)} \left(c_{1,\pi(1)} c_{2,\pi(2)} \cdots c_{n,\pi(n)} + c_{\pi(1),1} c_{\pi(2),2} \cdots c_{\pi(n),n} \right). \qquad (3.12)$$

The corresponding sum of these terms for $|S(C)|_\alpha$ is

$$\alpha^{c(\pi)} 2 (c_{1,\pi(1)} c_{2,\pi(1)} \cdots c_{n,\pi(n)} c_{\pi(1),1} c_{\pi(2),2} \cdots c_{\pi(n),n})^{1/2}. \qquad (3.13)$$

Considering the inequality between the geometric mean and arithmetic mean of numbers we see that the term in (3.12) is greater than or equal to the term in (3.13). The same inequality holds for all the other terms on the right-hand side of (1.2). Therefore we have the first inequality in (3.9). A similar analysis gives the second inequality. □

Theorem 3.1 *Let X and $\widetilde{X}$ be permanental vectors determined by A and A_{sym} and f be a positive function on R^n. Then*

$$E(f(X)) \geq \frac{|\overline{A}|^\alpha}{|\overline{A}_{sym}|^\alpha} E(f(\widetilde{X})). \tag{3.14}$$

Proof Using Lemma 3.4 and (1.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E(f(X)) \qquad\qquad (3.15)\\ & = |\overline{A}| \sum_{\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)} \frac{|\overline{B}(\mathbf{k})|_\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i!} E\left(f\left(\xi_{\alpha+k_1,a_1},\ldots,\xi_{\alpha+k_n,a_n}\right)\right) \\ & \geq |\overline{A}| \sum_{\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_n)} \frac{|\overline{B}_{sym}(\mathbf{k})|_\alpha}{\prod_{i=1}^n k_i!} E\left(f\left(\xi_{\alpha+k_1,a_1},\ldots,\xi_{\alpha+k_n,a_n}\right)\right) \\ & = \frac{|\overline{A}|^\alpha}{|\overline{A}_{sym}|^\alpha} E(f(\widetilde{X})). \end{aligned}$$

□

This leads to an interesting two sided inequality.

Corollary 3.1 *Let X and $\widetilde{X}$ be permanental vectors determined by A and A_{sym}. Then for all functions g of X and $\widetilde{X}$ and sets $\mathcal{B}$ in the range of g*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|A|^\alpha}{|A_{sym}|^\alpha} P\left(g(\widetilde{X}) \in \mathcal{B}\right) &\leq P\left(g(X) \in \mathcal{B}\right) \qquad (3.16)\\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{|A|^\alpha}{|A_{sym}|^\alpha}\right) + \frac{|A|^\alpha}{|A_{sym}|^\alpha} P\left(g(\widetilde{X}) \in \mathcal{B}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof The first inequality follows by taking $f(X) = I_{g(X)\in\mathcal{B}}(\,\cdot\,)$ in (3.14) and, similarly, the second inequality follows by taking $f(X) = I_{g(X)\in\mathcal{B}^c}(\,\cdot\,)$ in (3.14). □

Corollary 3.2 *Under the hypotheses of Corollary 3.1*

$$P\left(g(X) \in \mathcal{B}\right) = 1 \implies P\left(g(\widetilde{X}) \in \mathcal{B}\right) = 1. \tag{3.17}$$

Proof It follows from the first inequality in (3.16) that

$$P\left(g(X) \in \mathcal{B}^c\right) = 0 \implies P\left(g(\widetilde{X}) \in \mathcal{B}^c\right) = 0. \tag{3.18}$$

We get (3.17) by taking complements. □

Remark 3.1 A useful application of Corollaries 3.1 and 3.2 is to take $g(X) = \|X\|_\infty$.

Remark 3.2 When $\{R(s,t), s, t \in S\}$ is the potential density of a transient Markov process with state space S, for all $(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ in S, the matrix $\{R(s_i, s_j)\}_{i,j=1}^n$ is invertible and its inverse $A(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ is a non-singular M-matrix. For all $(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ in S consider $A_{sym}(s_1, \ldots, s_n)$. If

$$\inf_{\forall t_1,\ldots,t_n,\forall n} \frac{|A(t_1, \ldots, t_n)|}{|A_{sym}(t_1, \ldots, t_n)|} > 0 \tag{3.19}$$

it follows from Corollary 3.1 that $\sup_{t\in T} X_t < \infty$ almost surely if and only if $\sup_{t\in T} \widetilde{X}_t$
$< \infty$ almost surely. Here we also use the fact that these are tail events.

References

[1] A. Berman and R. J. Plemmons, *Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences*, Classics in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, (1994).

[2] M. B. Marcus and J. Rosen, Conditions for permanental processes to be unbounded, submitted.

[3] R. J. Plemmons, *M-Matrix Characterizations: 1-Nonsingular M-Matrices.*, Linear Algebra and its Appns., (1977), 18, 175–188.

[4] D. Vere-Jones, *Alpha-permanents*, New Zealand J. of Math., (1997), 26, 125–149.